SATELLITE METEOROLOGY

Table of Contents, Figure and Table Titles K.S. Shifrin and V.L. Gayevskiy, eds.

(NASA-TT-F-14447) SATELLITE METEOROLOGY Table of Contents, Figure and Table Titles K.S. Shifrin, et al (NASA) Jun. 1972 14 p CSCL 04B

N73-10616)

CL 04B Unclas G3/20 46265

Translation of Table of Contents, Figure and Table Titles of Sputnikovaya Meteorologiya, Edited by K.S. Shifrin and V.L. Gayevskiy, Trudy No. 221 of the Main Geophysical Observatory imeni A.I. Voyekov, Leningrad, Hydrometeorological Press, 1968

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546 JUNE 1972

	Page
ACTINOMETRIC APPARATUS USED ON SOVIET WEATHER SATELLITES	3
Fig. 1. Optical design of narrow-angle apparatus.	4
Fig. 2. Optical design of wide-angle apparatus.	4
Fig. 3. Scheme for the collection of actinometric information.	5
Fig. 4. Output characteristics of actinometric apparatus.	6
PRINCIPLES OF CALIBRATING LONG-WAVE ACTINOMETRIC APPARATUS USED ON WEATHER SATELLITES	8
Fig. 1. The relationship between the radiation factor of a cavity and the radiation capability of its internal coating.	11
INFORMATION PROPERTIES OF A SCANNING INFRARED APPARATUS USED ON WEATHER SATELLITES	14
STATISTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SIGNALS RECEIVED FROM A SCANNING INFRARED APPARATUS	21
Fig. 1. Laws of distribution of video signals from a water surface. I, Pacific Ocean: $64-82^{\circ}N$; $110-107^{\circ}E$; 2 hrs 40 min. $\overline{u}=42.39$, $\sigma=5.96$; II, Open section of the Mediterranean Sea: $32-35^{\circ}N$, $29-27^{\circ}E$; 10 hrs 00 min, $\overline{u}=60.89$, $\sigma=9.7$.	25
Fig. 2. Laws of distribution of video signals received from a dry land surface. I, Continent (Center of the European Territory of the Soviet Union), 10 hrs 08 min, $\overline{u}=43.68$, $\sigma=4.78$; II, Continent (South America); 39-36°S, 68-66°W, 6 hrs 05 min, $\overline{u}=61.01$, $\sigma=4.65$.	26
Fig. 3. Laws of distribution of video signals received from a cyclone. I, Cyclone over the West Siberian Lowlands, $\overline{u}=26.2$, $\sigma=4.38$; II, Cyclone over the Atlantic Ocean: $48-54^{\circ}N$, $22-14^{\circ}W$, 9 hrs 15 min, $\overline{u}=33.14$, $\sigma=10.49$.	26
Fig. 4. Laws of distribution of video signals received from frontal cloudiness. I, Frontal cloudiness over ETC $\frac{1}{2}$ 10 hrs 6 min - 10 hrs 14 min, \overline{u} = 23.29, σ = 8.58; II, Frontal cloudiness over the Pacific Ocean: 43.5-54°S, 162-176°E, 1 hr, \overline{u} = 40.31, σ = 5.76.	27
Fig. 5. Laws of distribution of video signals received from cyclonic cloudiness, cumuli and from the surface of the ocean. TETC - European Territory of the Soviet Union.	27

		Page
	Table 1. Numerical characteristics of the laws of distribution of signal amplitudes of an infrared apparatus over the radiating surfaces being investigated.	28
	MATIC PROCESSING OF INFRARED INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM WEATHER SATELLITES	30
	Fig. 1. Data sheet prepared from the results of automatic processing of infrared information received from the Cosmos-122 and Cosmos-144.	33
	Fig. 2. Field of radiation temperature based on the results of the observations made by Cosmos-122.	34
	Fig. 3. Weather in the observation region of Cosmos-122 at 15 hrs, 2 July 1966.	34
	Fig. 4. Structure of cloudiness as calculated on the basis of photography.	35
	Table 1. Contrast characteristics within the field of radiation temperature, as based on the results of observations made by Cosmos-122 and Cosmos-144.	36
СОМР	ARISON OF THE RESULTS OF LAND-BASED, AERIAL AND SATELLITE MEASUREMENTS	38
	Fig. 1. Course followed by the subsatellite point (3), the aircraft (2), boundary of the information zone of loop number 296 of Cosmos-156, (1).	40
·	Fig. 2. Distribution of cloudiness over the ETC on 17 May 1967 at 12 hrs 44 min, Moscow standard time, on the basis of data from ground stations: 1, no cloudiness; 2, slight cloudiness; 3, Ci; 4, significant cloudiness at the lower level; 5, dense cloudiness at the lower level.	41
	Fig. 3a. Distribution of reflected short-wave radiation on the basis of data from ground stations, 17 May 1967 at 12 hrs 44 min, Moscow standard time.	42
	Fig. 3b. Geographical distribution of reflected short-wave radiation on the basis of information received from Cosmos-156 on loop number 296, 17 May 1967.	42
	Fig. 4a. Geographical distribution of ground temperature, on the basis of ground information received at 12 hrs 44 min, Moscow standard time, 17 May 1967.	44
	Fig. 4b. Geographical distribution of radiation temperature on the basis of measurements made by Cosmos-156 on loop number 296, 17 May 1967.	45
	-2-	

-		Page
	long-wave fluxes at the level of the aircraft, cloud levels.	47
	rison of the results of measurements made at the point I_0 , cal/cm ² -min-sterad, πJ_0 , P, Sh, E_p^{\uparrow} in	48-49
THE UTILIZATION OF DO	ATA ON THE FIELD OF RISING SHORT-WAVE RADIATION COSMOS-122	50
Introduction		50
Data of Measure	ements Made by the Cosmos-122	50
	of the numerical data on Radiation in the 0.3-3 of the spectrum, obtained 17 August 1966 from the	52
	map obtained from the Cosmos-122 on 17 August 1966 period 5 hrs 44 min - 5 hrs 50 min, Moscow time.	53
Processing and	Analysis of Data	53
subsatellite a, Loop No.	entations of the brightness field at various points (Moscow time). 501, 29 July 1966; b, Loop No. 560, 28 July 1966; 898, 25 July 1966	54
	ation of the brightness field at various subsatellit cow time, Loop No. 853, 21 August 1966.	:e 55
	entation of the brightness field at various subsatel now time, Loop No. 913, 26 August 1966.	lite 55
	trajectory of the Cosmos-122. I, Loop No. 767, 966; II, Loop No. 898, 25 August 1966; III, Loop No. ust 1966.	56
Angular Structu	re of the Field of Outgoing Short-Wave Radiation	57
over water.	rixes of the clarity field of reflected radiation I, Reyleigh curve; 2, Received from the Tyros-IV; from the Cosmos-122.	58
Table I. Result subsatellite	es of Observations made with Cosmos-122 at various points	59
· 20 tuly 10	966 1 con No. 501	EQ

2 August 1966, Loop No. 560	, Page 60
21 August 1966, Loop No. 853	61
Table 2. Results of calculations of the radiation balance of the atmosphere.	. 62
16 August, Loop No. 767	62
21 August, Loop No. 853	63
25 August, Loop No. 898	63
26 August, Loop No. 913	64
PROBLEMS OF INTERPRETING INFRARED IMAGES OF CLOUDINESS OBTAINED FROM WEATHER SATELLITES	66
 Estimating the Possible Deviations from the Mean Value of the Contrasts of Radiation Temperatures 	67
Table 1. Mean square deviations δ of cloud- and ground- temperature contrasts by day (line 1) and by night (line 2), for 12 months	68-69
Fig. 1. Probability of the distribution of deviations of contrasts of cloud radiation temperatures against the background of the underlying surface, from mean perennial values in winter (1) and summer (2). a, Cloudiness at lower level; b, Cloudiness at middle level	68
 The effect of Various Factors on the Magnitude of Contrasts of Radiation Temperatures 	69
Table 2. Error Δt in magnitude of the contrasts of the radiation temperatures of clouds against the background of the terrestrial surface, as the result of not allowing for the radiation peculiarities of natural surfaces	70
Table 3. The effect of the degree of cloudiness on the magnitude fluxes of outgoing radiation I and contrasts of radiation temperatures Δt of cloudiness against the background of the underlying surface (8.0-13.0 $\mu m)$	72
 Fig. 2. Relative angular distribution on the intensity of outgoing radiation in transparency windows of the atmosphere, 8.0-13.0 μm (a) and 3.5-4.0 μm (b). 1, Leningrad, January; 2, Leningrad, July; 3, Ashkhabad, January; 4, Ashkhabad, July; 5, Yakutsk, January; 6, Kiev, July. 	73
-4-	
	•

		,
		Page
·	Fig. 3. Angular dependence of the contrasts of radiation temperatures of cloudiness at the lower level, against a background of the underlying surface, in transparency windows of the atmosphere, 8.0-13.0 μ m (a) and 3.5-4.0 μ m (b). The symbols used in this figure are the same as in Figure 2.	74
	Table 4. The effect of spectral transmission $ au_\lambda$ of the barometer	
	filter system on the magnitude of temperatures measured by the radiation method	75
	3. Corrections to the Temperature of the Underlying Surface and the Clouds as Measured by the Radiation Method	76
	 Fig. 4. Probability of the distribution of error for a given temperature of the underlying surface by the radiation method at night with a cloudless sky, in various radiation windows. 1) 3.5-4.0 μm; 2) 8.0-13.0 μm; 3) 4.5-5.0 μm. 	76
	Fig. 5. Probability of distribution of error in determining the temperature of the upper boundary of the lower level of cloudiness by the radiation method at night. Symbols used are the same as those in Figure 4.	76
	Table 5. Corrections Δt to the temperature of the radiating surface, as determined by the radiation method from weather satellites. (the first line is for 3.5-4.0 μ m; the second line is for 4.5-5.0 μ m; the third line is for 8.0-13.0 μ m)	77
	4. Analysis of Infrared Images of the Earth Obtained from Weather Satellites	79
	Fig. 6a. Infrared image of the underlying surface and of the clouds, obtained from the Nimbus-1 on 15 September 1964 (Loop No. 258, 3 hrs, Moscow time).	80
	Fig. 6b. Synoptic situation of the subsatellite region.	81
	Fig. 7a. Infrared image of the underlying surface and of the clouds, obtained from Nimbus-1 on 15 September 1964 (Loop No. 271, 23 hrs 49 min, Moscow time).	82
•	Fig. 7b. Synoptic situation of the subsatellite region.	82
	Fig. 8a. Infrared image of the clouds obtained from the Cosmos-122 on 22 September 1966 (Loop No. 1323, 15 hrs 03 min, Moscow time)	83
	Fig. 8b. Synoptic situation of the subsatellite region.	83
12	Fig. 9a. Infrared image of the underlying surface and of the clouds, obtained from the Cosmos-122 on 4 July 1966 (Loop No. 135, 12 hrs 33 min. Moscow time)	84

	Page	
Fig. 9b. Measured field of radiation temperatures	. 85	
Fig. 9c. Synoptic situation of the subsatellite re	egion. 85	
Table 6. Comparison of measured and computed radia	ation temperatures 86	
Table 7. Comparison of measured and computed magn contrasts of radiation temperature.	itudes of the	
Fig. 10a. Infrared image of the underlying surface clouds, obtained from the Cosmos-122 on 9 July 209, 12 hrs 28 min, Moscow time).	e and of the 1966 (Loop No. 87	
Fig. 10b. Measured field of radiation temperatures	87	
Fig. 10c. Synoptic situation of the subsatellite	region. 88	
METHOD OF COMPUTING THE FIELD OF SHORT-WAVE RADIATION DESCRIPTION FROM THE UNDERLYING LAYER	DURING ANISOTROPIC 90	
Introduction	90	
 The Contribution which Reflection from the Under Makes to the Brightness of Atmospheric Haze 	erlying Surface	
Table 1. The contribution of the underlying surface of haze at the upper limit of the atmosphere (value function $f(\tau_o A) = I_D^A/I_D$ for $\lambda = \kappa(\gamma) = 1$, $I = \frac{1}{2}$	values of the	
Table 2. Contribution of the underlying surface to of haze at the upper limit of the atmosphere, we for only a single scattering (values of the fundamental scattering)	vith allowance	
$f_1(\tau_0, A) = I_{D_1}^{A/I} I_{D_1}$, $\lambda = \varkappa(\gamma) = 1$, $i = 30^\circ$, $\theta = 0$	- 92	
Estimating the Absorption in the Atmosphere with to Single-Scattered Radiation	th the Respect	
 The Possibility of using a Lambert Scheme for (Radiation 	Computed Scattered 94	
4. The Effect of Anisotropy of Reflection on the I Radiation over a Water Surface	Field of Scattered 97	
THE PROBABILITY OF OBSERVING CLOUDINESS AND THE UNDERLY SIGNALS FROM AN INFRARED SYSTEM	ING LAYER BY	
Table 1. The probability of observing cloudiness a background of various forms of radiating surface		

	Page
Table 2. The probability of observing various forms of radiating surfaces against the background of the basic noise of an infrared system	104
Fig. 1. The probability of observing dense stratocumulus clouds (1) and cumulus clouds (2), against the background of a continent, as a function of the level of the video signal	104
Fig. 2. Laws of distribution of video signals from dense strato- cumulus and cumulus clouds with gaps, and from a dry-land surface.	105
Fig. 3. The probability of observing cumulus against the background of the ocean, as a function of the level of the video signal.	105
Fig. 4. The probability of observing a cyclone against the background of the ocean, as a function of the level of the video signal.	105
Fig. 5. Autocorrelation functions of video signals from a cyclone.	- 106
THE FIELD OF OUTGOING SHORT-WAVE RADIATION OVER THE OCEAN	108
1. Introduction	. 108
Reflection from a Rough Sea. Comparison with Specular and Anisotropic Reflection	109
3. A Scheme for Computing the Field of Short-Wave Radiation	112
Table 3. Integral field of outgoing short-wave radiation at the upper limit of the atmosphere over the ocean, I $_{\pi}(\theta$, i, ϕ) cal/cm ² -min-sterad.	113
 The "Solar Path" on the Upper Limit of the Atmosphere. Comparison with a Lambert Sea 	114
Table 5. Comparison with a Lambert Sea $f_i(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{I_n(\theta, i, \varphi)}{I_n'(\theta, i, \varphi)}$	114
Fig. 1. Brightness of outgoing radiation (Zenith distance of the sun is 20°) $t-t_{\pi}$, $z-t_{\pi}$.	115
Fig. 2. Brightness of outgoing radiation (Zenith distance of the sum is 80°). For symbols see Fig. 1.	115
Table 6. The contribution of scattered radiation to the field of outgoing short-wave radiation over the ocean $\frac{I_p}{I_n} = \frac{I_0^n + I_D}{I_n},$ v = 10 m/sec.	116

	Page
THE CONTRIBUTION OF A DIFFUSE REFLECTION FROM A DEEP BODY OF WATER TO THE FIELD OF OUTGOING SHORT-WAVE RADIATION	117
Table 1. Radiation from the ocean $I(\theta)$ cal/cm ² -min-sterad	123
S-Table 2. Diffuse radiation from the ocean at the upper limit of the atmosphere I' (0) cal/cm ² -min-sterad	123
Table 3. The field of outgoing short-wave radiation over the ocean, with allowance for scattering in the water layer, I((0), ϕ) cal/cm ² min-sterad	124
Table 4. The contribution of diffuse radiation from the ocean to the field of outgoing short-wave at the upper limit of the atmosphere $(l'(\theta))/(l(\theta,i,\phi))$ % cal/cm ² -min-sterad	125
RECONSTRUCTING A FIELD OF NEAR-GROUND PRESSURE FROM THE DATA OF WEATHER SATELLITES	127
Fig. 1. The actual field of near-ground pressure, 9 hrs, 14 February 1967.	130
Fig. 2. The reconstructed field of near-ground pressure, 9 hrs, 14 February 1967.	130
DETERMINING THE TEMPERATURE OF A RADIATING SURFACE AND THE TOTAL MOISTURE CONTENT ON THE BASIS OF RADIATION MEASUREMENTS MADE ON WEATHER SATELLITES	132
Fig. 1. Results from computing T ₀ on the basis of data obtained from the Tyros-III weather satellite on 15 July 1961, orbit No. 44, Greenwich time 10 hrs 42 min - 10 hrs 55 min (on the right above and below are shown the values of T ₀ as computed	
with the use of formulas (11') and (16), respectively).	137
Fig. 2. Results of computing w_0 g/cm ² on the basis of data	
obtained from the Tyros-III weather satellite on 15 July 1961, orbit No. 44, 10 hrs 42 min - 10 hrs 55 min - Greenwich time.	138
Fig. 3. The results of computing $T_0^{\circ}C$ (plotted on the upper right)	
and w ₀ g/cm ² (lower right) on the basis of data obtained from	
the Cosmos-122 weather satellite, orbit Nos. 207 and 208, 9 hrs 15 min - 10 hrs 57 min, Greenwich time.	139
Fig. 4. Weather map for 12 hrs Greenwich time, 15 July 1961.	140

	Page
Fig. 5. Weather map for 9 hrs, Moscow time, 9 July 1966.	141
THE EFFECT WHICH THE ANISOTROPY OF REFLECTION FROM THE UNDERLYING SURFACE HAS UPON THE DETERMINATION OF THE FLUX OF OUTGOING SHORT-WAVE RADIATION ON THE BASIS OF MEASUREMENTS MADE WITH WEATHER SATELLITES	142
Introduction	142
 Deviation from a Lambert Field of Outgoing Short-Wave Radiation over Snow and over the Sea 	143
Table 1. Mean values and dispersion of the relative deviation of the field of outgoing short-wave radiation from a field isotropic reflection for the ocean and for snow	. 144
 The Effect of the Anisotropy of the Reflection on Outgoing Radiation for Various Values of Albedo 	144
3. Applicability of the Lambert Scheme of Transformation	146
Table 2. The function ϕ (i, θ) sterad $^{-1}$ (cal/cm 2 -min) $^{-1}$	147
Table 3. Regions of applicability of the Lambert scheme in the case of the ocean	147
Table 4. Permissible deviations from isotropic reflection for a snow surface $\Delta R' = (\Delta F/A) \Phi(i, \theta)$ [sterad 1], $\Delta F = 0.04$ cal/cm-min	148
4. The Role of Clouds. Conclusion	148
DETERMINING WIND VELOCITY AND THE DEGREE OF WAVE ACTION ON THE BASIS OF MEASUREMENTS MADE FROM ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES OF THE BAROMETERS OF THE 'SOLAR PATH'	150
1. Introduction	150
 Sensitivity of the Field of Brightness of Outgoing Short-Wave Radiation to Wind and to Wave Actions 	150
Table 1. Spectral field of outgoing radiation over the ocean at the upper limit of the atmosphere, for various wind velocities	· .
$I_{\mathbf{v}}(\lambda, i, \theta, \phi)$ [$\mu cal/cm^2$ -min-sterad]	152
Table 2. The contribution of scattered radiation to the field of outgoing radiation at the upper limit of the atmosphere	
$\left(\frac{I_{\sigma}(\lambda, t, \theta, \varphi)}{I_{p}(\lambda, t, \theta, \varphi)}\right)$	153.
-9-	ı

	•
	Page
Table 3. Transverse gradient of the "solar path" at the upper limit of the atmosphere ucal/cm2-min-sterad-deg	154
Table 5. Relative values of the maximum of the "solar path" at the upper limit of the atmosphere, for various wind velocities	155
3. Difficulties and Prospects of the Method	155
μ , μ	•
THE PROBLEM OF AVERAGING IN MEASURING HYDROMETEROLOGICAL FIELDS	158
Fig. 1. The normed (that is, divided by the doubled dispersion) square of the mean-square deviation of the true value of the hydrometerological element at point r from its value averaged within the limits of interval θ .	
1) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$; $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 2) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 0$; 3) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$ $\theta = 5 \text{ km}$; 4) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$, 160
Fig. 2. The normed correlation function k (r) and the polynormed (that is, divided by the doubled dispersion) structural function B (r, θ) of the values of the hydrometeorological element averaged over the interval θ .	
1) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 2) $\alpha = 1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 3) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$ $\theta = 0$; 4) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 5) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$; 6) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$; 7) $\alpha = 1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$; 8) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}$; 9) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$; 10) $\alpha = 1 \text{ km}^{-1}$.	
Fig. 3. The normed structural function of the values of hydrometeorological element averaged within the limits of the interval θ .	
1) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 0$; 2) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 3) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$ $\theta = 5 \text{ km}$; 4) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$; 5) $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 0$; 6) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 7) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta = 5 \text{ km}$; 8) $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ km}^{-1}$, $\theta - 10 \text{ km}$.	163
Fig. 4. The normed space correlation function $k(r)$ and the polynormed (that is, divided by the doubled dispersion) structural function $B(r, \theta)$ of the temperature of water surface averaged over the interval θ , in the region of the Gulf Stream.	
$m(x) = 20.2^{\circ}C$, $D = 0.531 \text{ deg}^2$, $\sigma = 0.728 \text{ deg}$ 1) $\theta = 0$; 2) $\theta = 1 \text{ km}$; 3) $\theta = 5 \text{ km}$; 4) $\theta = 10 \text{ km}$; 5) $k(r) = e^{-0.32}$	5r
$\cos 0.418 \text{ r miles; 6}) \text{ k(r)} = e^{-0.175 r} \cos 0.225 \text{ r km}$	164
The second of th	
CERTAIN PATTERNS IN THE VERTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF OUTGOING LONG-WAVE RADIATION	167
Table 1. The connection between u_z and σT_o^4 .	169
 -10-	÷

\cdot	Page
Table 2. Relationships between (a) outgoing long-wave flux u _z and	
the ratio u_z/oT_0^4 , and (b) air temperature t_z , effective water-vapor	
content w_z , and the ground-air temperature gradient $\Delta t = t_0 - t_z$.	169
Fig. 1. The dependence of the flux of outgoing long-wave radiation u _z upon temperatue (a) and upon water vapor content (b)	
1, 4 November; 2, 2 October; 3, 12 August.	170
Fig. 2. The dependence of the ratio $u_z/\sigma T_o^4$ upon the ground-air	
temperature gradient (a), and upon the water vapor content in the atmospheric layer for which $\Delta t = \text{const}$ (b), at Minsk station. 1, $\Delta t = 10.0^{\circ}$; 2, $\Delta t = 20.0^{\circ}$; 3, $\Delta t = 40^{\circ}$.	171
Fig. 3. Vertical profiles of outgoing long-wave radiation and air temperature, on 27 August (1) and 6 November (2).	1 72
Table 3. Relationship between $u_z/\sigma T_o^4$ and Δt .	172
Table 4. Mean values of the ratio u_z/u_o .	173
Table 5. Initial data used in the calculation	175
Fig. 4. Dependence of $u_z^{(2)}/\sigma T_Q^4$ (a) and $u_z^2/\sigma T_{Q,z}^4$ (b) upon	
water-vapor content.	176
Table 6. Outgoing flux u_z and its components $u_z^{(1)}$ and $u_z^{(2)}$ at	
various levels in the troposphere	177
Fig. 5. The connection between the relative variation in outgoing long-wave flux and the ground-air temperature gradient.	179
Table 8. Comparison of outgoing long wave flux, computed with allowance for water vapor (H ₂ 0), and with allowance for both	
water vapor and carbon dioxide $(H_2^0 + C_2^0)$	
$\Delta u_z = u_{z_{H_20} + c0_2} - u_{z_{H_20}}$	181
Table 9. Recurrence (in%) of the ratio u_z^{ϕ}/u_z^{ϕ} at various altitudes	
(data from 6 points). u_z^{ϕ} is outgoing long-wave flux as computed	
by formula; uz is the flux as measured by radiosonde.	182
Table 10. Recurrence of u ^d /u at various altitudes (data from 6 points).	182

· ·	Page
Fig. 6. Comparison of vertical profiles of the flux of outgoing long-wave radiation.	
1, computed by the Shekhter diagram; 2, by formula (2); 3, measured	184
THE SPECTRAL BRIGHTNESS OF CLOUDS AND OBJECTS ON THE LANDSCAPE WITHIN THE VISIBLE AND THE NEAR INFRARED PORTIONS OF THE SPECTRUM	185
1. Apparatus and Method of Measurement	185
2. Results of the Measurements	187
Table 3. Mean brightness of clouds and terrestrial objects in Mw/cm ² -sterad, recorded during the period May to June 1966, on the European territory of the Soviet Union, for various spectral intervals and for various altitudes of the sun	188
Fig. 1. A mean spectral brightness of objects for various altitudes of the sun: 1, Cirrus clouds, H _e = 40°; 2, cumulus clouds, H _e = 30° 3, old ice with snow, H _e = 26-29°; 4, multi-level cloudiness, H _e = 36-42°; 5, deserts and semi-deserts, H _e = 34-36°; 6, tundras containing patches (10-20%) of snow, H _e = 43-46°.	189
Fig. 2. Mean spectral brightnesses of clouds at the middle level for various altitudes of the sun. 1, H _e = 42-55°; 2, H _e = 32-42°; 3, H _e = 21.7 - 32.0°; 4, H _e = 12.5-21.7°; 5, H _e = 1-2°.	190
Fig. 3. Mean and extreme values, and recurrence (%) of various gradiations of the spectral brightness of cloudiness at the middle layer, for altitudes of the sun of 42-45°.	191
Fig. 4. Mean and extreme values and recurrence (%) of various gradations of spectral brightness of a thick layer of cumulus clouds at altitudes of the sun from 42-45°.	192
 Comparison of Experimental Data with the Results of Theoretical Calculations 	192
Table 4. Comparison of the measured and the computed values of absolute spectral brightness of cloudiness B mw/cm²-sterad µm (means values are given in the first line , the maximum values in the second line)	193
4. Comparison of the Results of Spectrometric and Pyranometric Measurements	194

	Page
Fig. 5. Comparison of the fluxes of solar radiation reflected by clouds, as measured with the pyranometer E_p^{\uparrow} and the spectrometer E_s^{\uparrow} .	195
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
THE EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE SCATTERING OF LIGHT BY CLOUD PARTICLES. I. THE MODELING OF WATER DROPLETS	198
Fig. 1. Curves of the spectral transmission of two types of glass, STF1(1) and TF6 (2).	200
Fig. 2. Dispersion curves for water (1), model 1 (2) and model 1 (3).	201
Fig. 3. The design of a device for producing glass spheres.	201
THE EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE SCATTERING OF LIGHT BY CLOUD PARTICLES. II. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE INDICATRIXES OF THE SCATTERING OF LIGHT OF MODELS OF WATER DROPLETS	205
Fig.1. Design of the measuring apparatus. photoelectric cell; 2, amplifier; 3, EPPV-60; 4, beam; 5, motor; photomultiplier; 7, objective lens; 8, neutral light filters; light trap; 10, disc; 11, motor; 12, transmission gear case; worm; 14, worm wheel; 15, absolute blackbody; 16, polaroid; light filter; 18, objective lens. 	206
Fig. 2. Electrical measuring circuit of the apparatus. 1, photoelectric cell; 2, mercury vapor lamp; 3, cuvette; 4, photomultiplier; 5, motor; 6, amplifier.	207
CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTENSITY FIELD OF CLOUDS WHICH APPEAR IN STEPPE AREAS AND IN SEMI-DESERT AREAS	212
Table 1. Recurrence (%) of rain of various maximal intensity,	213
Table 2. Recurrence (%) of precipitation of various intensity (shown in the enumerator), and the proportion of precipitation of given intensity among the total (shown in the denominator)	214
Table 3. Incremental proportion (%) of precipitation of various intensity with respect to total duration (1) and with respect to total precipitation (2)	214
-13-	
	•